

### **REMARKS**

The Office Action dated January 23, 2008, has been reviewed and the comments therein were carefully considered by the Applicants. In the Office Action, claims 48-88 are currently pending. By this Amendment, no claims have been cancelled or withdrawn, and new claims 89-98 have been added. Accordingly, claims 48-98 are currently at issue.

#### **Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §103**

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, claims 48-88 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,278,456 to Wang et al. ("Wang") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,823,373 to Pancha et al. ("Pancha"). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

##### **A. Claims 48-58**

Claim 48 includes, among other features, "maintaining an individual calendar ... comprising the one or more calendar events and the one or more private calendar events." None of the cited references disclose, teach, or suggest at least this feature of claim 1.

In paragraph 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserted that Wang discloses this feature at Col. 11, Lns. 10-50. However, this portion of Wang deals with making reservations for already-scheduled events, involving a publisher (i.e., museum, sports team, etc.) and individual Web surfers. There is no disclosure in this portion of Wang of any group calendars or individual terminals being provided access to calendar events for other wireless terminals (e.g., the "calendar events" in claim 48). Wang briefly discusses both individual calendars and group calendars, for example, at Col. 6, Lns. 21-34. However, Wang does not contain any disclosure of maintaining or providing an individual calendar that contains both private (non-shared) and shared calendar events. Wang mentions private event schedules and public event schedules separately, and never discloses that the two are ever combined into a single calendar. Accordingly, Wang does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least this feature of claim 48.

Pancha also does not disclose, teach, or suggest this feature, and the Office Action does not assert that Pancha does so. Accordingly, the cited references do not disclose all the elements

of claim 48, and no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 48.

Claims 49-58 depend from claim 48, and contain all the elements thereof. Thus, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 48, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 49-58.

The cited references also do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 49-58. For example, neither Wang nor Pancha discloses, "providing equal access to each of the plurality of wireless devices to modify the group calendar," as recited in claim 49. Wang discloses using a web calendar to facilitate sharing and coordination of group member schedules. (Col. 4, Lns. 8-10, Col. 6, Lns. 16-30). However, Wang does not disclose that a group of terminals have equal access to modify the group calendar. Pancha does not disclose this feature either. For the same reasons, the cited references do not disclose, "providing equal access to each of the plurality of wireless devices to modify the group calendar," as recited in claim 54. Thus, for this additional reason, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 49 and 54.

As another example, the cited references do not disclose, "maintaining the individual calendar comprising the one or more calendar events from all wireless devices of the group and the one or more private calendar events from the first wireless device," as recited in claim 52, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 48. For the same reasons, the cited references do not disclose, "maintaining a plurality of individual calendars, each individual calendar corresponding to one wireless device of the group, wherein each individual calendar comprises the calendar events from all wireless devices of the group and the one or more private calendar events from the corresponding wireless device," as recited in claim 53. Thus, for this additional reason, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 52 and 53.

#### **B. Claims 59-68**

Claim 59 includes, among other features, "maintaining an individual calendar ... comprising the one or more calendar events and the one or more private calendar events." This

element is similar to the element discussed above with respect to claim 48. For the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 48, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 59 or dependent claims 60-68 depending therefrom.

As an additional matter, the cited references do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 60-68. As some examples, claims 60 and 63-65 contain elements similar to those of claims 49 and 52-54 discussed above. Accordingly, for these additional reasons, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 60 and 63-65.

**C. Claims 69-75**

Claim 69 includes, among other features, “accessing an individual calendar maintained on the server and accessible only by the apparatus, the individual calendar comprising the one or more calendar events, the one or more additional calendar events, and the one or more private calendar events.” As stated above with respect to claim 48, Wang does not contain any disclosure of maintaining or providing an individual calendar that contains both private and shared calendar events. Pancha also does not disclose this feature. Accordingly, the cited references do not disclose all the elements of claim 69, and no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 69.

Claims 70-75 depend from claim 69, and contain all the elements thereof. Thus, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 69, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 70-75.

As an additional matter, the cited references do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 70-75. For example, the cited references do not disclose, “accessing a group notice board maintained on the server, the group notice board comprising at least some of the calendar information,” as recited in claim 74. The Office Action asserts that this element is disclosed at Col. 11, Lns. 1-47 of Wang. However, the cited portions of Wang disclose a procedure for making registrations or reservations, and contain no disclosure of any group notice board. Thus, for these additional reasons, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 74.

As another example, the cited references do not disclose, “creating each of the one or more calendar events and each of the one or more private calendar events by entry into a new calendar event view,” as recited in claim 75. The Office Action asserts that this element is disclosed at Col. 11, Lns. 1-47 of Wang. However, the cited portions of Wang disclose a procedure for making registrations or reservations, and state that the registrations can be made by a “Capplet” that uses a registration form. (Col. 11, Lns. 37-38). Wang does not disclose the use of a new calendar event view to create each calendar event. Thus, for these additional reasons, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 75.

**D. Claims 76-82**

Claim 76 includes, among other features, “accessing an individual calendar maintained on the server and accessible only by the first device, the individual calendar comprising the one or more calendar events, the one or more additional calendar events, and the one or more private calendar events.” This element is similar to the element discussed above with respect to claim 69. For the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 69, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 76 or dependent claims 77-82 depending therefrom.

As an additional matter, the cited references do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 77-82. As some examples, claims 81 and 82 contain elements similar to those of claims 74 and 75 discussed above. Accordingly, for these additional reasons, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 81 and 82.

**E. Claims 83-85**

Claim 83 includes, among other features, “maintaining a plurality of individual calendars, each individual calendar corresponding to one wireless device of the group, wherein each individual calendar comprises the one or more calendar events from all wireless devices of the group and the one or more private calendar events from the corresponding wireless device.” As stated above with respect to claim 48, Wang does not contain any disclosure of maintaining or providing an individual calendar that contains both private and shared calendar events. Pancha

also does not disclose this feature. Accordingly, the cited references do not disclose all the elements of claim 83, and no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 83.

Claims 84 and 85 depend from claim 83, and contain all the elements thereof. Thus, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 83, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claims 84 and 85.

The cited references also do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 84 and 85. For example, neither Wang nor Pancha discloses, "providing access to the all of the plurality of wireless devices to modify the group calendar events," as recited in claim 85, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 49.

#### **F. Claims 86-88**

Claim 86 includes, among other features, "maintaining a plurality of individual calendars, each individual calendar corresponding to one wireless device of the group, wherein each individual calendar comprises the one or more calendar events from all wireless devices of the group and the one or more private calendar events from the corresponding wireless device." This element is similar to the element discussed above with respect to claim 83. For the same reasons stated above with respect to claim 83, no prima facie case of obviousness has been established with respect to claim 86 or dependent claims 87 and 88 depending therefrom.

The cited references also do not disclose a number of additional elements recited in dependent claims 87 and 88. For example, neither Wang nor Pancha discloses, "providing access to the all of the plurality of wireless devices to modify the group calendar events," as recited in claim 87, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claims 49 and 85.

#### **New Claims**

New claims 89-98 have been added to the present application, and contain elements similar to those of claim 59-68. Thus, for the same reasons stated above with respect to claims 59-68, new claims 89-98 are patentable over the cited references.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in condition for allowance, and reconsideration and allowance thereof is respectfully requested. Additionally, examination and allowance of new claims 89-98 is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should it be deemed necessary to facilitate prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 17, 2008



Gregory G. Schlenz  
Registration No. 55,597  
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
10 South Wacker Drive,  
Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: 312-463-5000  
Facsimile: 312-463-5001

2521592